



Proskauer Rose LLP Eleven Times Square New York, NY 10036-8299

Elise M. Bloom
Member of the Firm
d +1.212.969.3410
f 212.969.2900
ebloom@proskauer.com
www.proskauer.com

January 15, 2021

MEMORANDUM ENDORSEMENT

VIA ECF

Hon. Gabriel W. Gorenstein
Chief U.S. Magistrate Judge
Daniel Patrick Moynihan United States Courthouse
500 Pearl Street, Courtroom 6B
New York, New York 10007-1312

Re: *Wood v. Mike Bloomberg 2020, Inc.* (20 Civ. 2489) (LTS)(GWG)
Sklair v. Mike Bloomberg 2020, Inc. et al. (20 Civ. 2495) (LTS)(GWG)

Dear Judge Gorenstein:

We represent Defendants Mike Bloomberg 2020, Inc. and Michael Bloomberg in the above-referenced matters. In accordance with Your Honor's directives during the conference on December 15, 2020, we write jointly with counsel for Plaintiffs to report that the parties have been discussing a potential agreement as to the scope of discovery to be conducted during the pendency of Defendants' motions to dismiss, but such discussions are ongoing. The parties would like to continue working together to see if an agreement can be reached or to limit the issues, and propose providing the Court with another status report regarding those discussions on Friday, January 22, 2021.¹

We thank the Court for its attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

/s/ Elise M. Bloom

Elise M. Bloom

cc: All Counsel (via ECF)

The parties are welcome to file a status report on January 22, 2021, or on any date of their choosing thereafter. The Court will forbear from deciding the motion to stay (Docket # 134) in the meantime by deeming it withdrawn with leave to any party to reinstate it at any time by filing a letter on ECF so stating. Upon the filing of such a letter, the Court will decide the motion promptly.

So Ordered.

GABRIEL W. GORENSTEIN
United States Magistrate Judge
January 15, 2021

¹ During the December 15 conference, Your Honor had indicated that if the parties had not reached an agreement by January 15, 2021, the parties could "at any time agree to [submit a status letter] later" with respect to the substantive outcome of the parties' discussions, but directed the parties to notify the Court in their January 15, 2021 letter that additional time was requested. (12/15/2020 Tr. 21:18-22:11.)